B2 Symmetry and Relativity Lecture 18

• What's going on during a collision?



• What's going on during a collision?



Do the particles come into contact with one another?

(Classical physics likes particles which are point-like, or hard objects)

• Particles exert force on one another (no need for actual contact)



• Particles exert force on one another (no need for actual contact)



 Individual "signal" (like particle decays and formations)

⊾ct

 \mathcal{X}

We can conserve 4-momentum and satisfy relativistic symmetries with each signal

But each signal must carry momentum!

(Note: haven't identified signal as electromagnetic)

• Force as flow of signals



Flow is defined at all space-time points → **fields**

It must also propagate in space-time

→ field currents

Fields

• Individual current conservation:

$$0 = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = \partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}$$

• 4-momentum conservation (no external forces):

$$\sum_{i} (P_i)^{\mu} = \sum_{i} (Q_i)^{\mu}$$
 4 equations!

We need 4 currents with continuity equations: stress-energy tensor

$$0 = \partial_{\nu} T^{\mu\nu}$$

This has to be a rank-2 field tensor so the 4 currents form a 4-vector

Fields

- Special Relativity requires forces to be treated as fields
 - Field needs to propagate: radiation
 - Also must carry momentum
- Add quantum mechanics:
 - Particles have a width
 - overlap not a problem
 - Fields propagate like particles
 - Interesting details here...
 - Side note: how many exchanged?
 - good thing they're bosons



Fields

• Calculating amplitudes in B4:

Don't worry about angle here. A Feynman diagram is not a space-time diagram.

- Looks very classical don't be fooled!
 - Lines represent quantum fields
 - Quantum theory shares symmetries, e.g., form invariance, because what else can it do?

Emmy Noether (1882-1935)

- Wide-ranging mathematician at Göttingen
- "Guest lectured" for David Hilbert and others
- Ended up by Bryn Mawr
- Most famous for *Noether's Theorem* connecting symmetries and conservation laws



Noether's Theorem

- Noether's Theorem in brief: a continuous symmetry \rightarrow a conserved current
 - We're going to talk about Noether's Theorem for fields
 - It's actually a bit easier than the usual one for discrete particles
- Some definitions we'll use:
 - Path: a field configuration $\{\phi_i(x)\}$
 - Classical path: a stationary path with respect to the action (which is a functional of the fields)
 - Action invariance: the new action has the same classical path
 - Symmetry: a change in the path which leaves the action invariant (same classical path)
- Basic idea: two kinds of symmetry in the action
 - General invariance: a change from any path which leaves the action invariant
 - No requirement that the original path is stationary/classical
 - Invariance of action around classical path itself
- Noether relates the two

Noether's Theorem

- Simplest case: cyclic field
 - Lagrangian doesn't depend on the field explicitly

General invariance

• The action is a functional of the fields

$$I[\phi(x)] = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)$$

Consider just one field for simplicity

• First step: keep the action identically the same at all points

$$I[\phi(x)] - I[\phi(x) + \delta\phi_s(x)] = 0$$

A function of x, added to the original field (not necessarily a small change)

- The action clearly has the same extrema
- Note also this is true for cyclic fields

General invariance

• But remember that action is an integral

 $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L} + \partial_{\mu} K^{\mu}(x)$

$$I[\phi(x)] = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)$$

• Adding a divergence term only affects the surface – not the interior, where the action is varied to find a stationary configuration

Analogous to 3D
$$\int_{V} d^{3}x \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \int_{S} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{a}$$

$$\delta I[\phi, \delta \phi_s] = I[\phi(x) + \delta \phi_s(x)] - I[\phi(x)] = \int d^4x \partial_\mu K^\mu$$

Variation around classical path

• Now consider a classical field configuration

$$\bar{\phi} \equiv \bar{\phi}(x) \qquad \qquad \bullet \qquad 0 = \partial_{\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{\mu}\bar{\phi})} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\bar{\phi}}$$

Small variation around that configuration

$$\delta I[\bar{\phi}, \delta\phi] = \int d^4x \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta\phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta(\partial_\mu \phi) \right) \\ = \int d^4x \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta\phi - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \delta\phi \right) + \int d^4x \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta\phi \right) \\ = \int d^4x \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta\phi \right)$$
= 0 because it's the classical path Another divergence!

NON-SYLLABUS

Relating the two

 If the variation around the classical path is a symmetry (leaves the action invariant), then it can take the form of a general invariance as well

Assign $\delta\phi(x) = \delta\phi_s(x)$ Classical path $\delta I[\bar{\phi}, \delta\phi_s] = \int d^4x \partial_\mu K^\mu = \int d^4x \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)}\delta\phi_s\right)$

From general invariance – any other sort of invariance

Change close to classical path

Noether's Theorem

• Gather both divergences → conserved current

$$0 = \int d^4 x \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta_s \phi - K^\mu \right) = \int d^4 x \partial_\mu J^\mu$$

$$0 = \partial_\mu J^\mu \qquad J^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta\phi(x) - K^\mu \qquad \text{Note: for cyclic coordinate } K^\mu = 0 \text{ (reduces to previous result)}$$

Now let's look at the EM field

Maxwell stress-energy tensor

• Where did this come from?

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left[-\frac{1}{4} (F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}) g^{\mu\nu} - F^{\mu}{}_{\gamma} F^{\gamma\nu} \right]$$

• In lectures, we do this:

- Defence: there aren't that many rank-2 symmetric tensors we can make out of the fields
- We will see it's a conserved Noether current

Maxwell field tensor

• Field Lagrangian, no sources

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4\mu_0} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Plug into field Euler-Lagrange equations to get source-free Maxwell equations

• Translation: $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu} + \epsilon^{\mu}$

(For normal Lagrangians, translational invariance results in momentum conservation)

$$F'_{\mu\nu}(x) = F_{\mu\nu}(x-\epsilon) = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}(x-\epsilon) - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}(x-\epsilon)$$

• Noether current:

$$J^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta})} \delta A_{\beta} - K^{\alpha}$$

Field shift

$$A'_{\mu}(x) = A_{\mu}(x - \epsilon) = A_{\mu}(x) - \epsilon^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}A_{\mu}(x)$$
$$\delta A_{\mu}(x) = A'_{\mu}(x) - A_{\mu}(x) = -\epsilon^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}A_{\mu}(x)$$

- Problem: not gauge invariant
 - We can press on, but then we'll have to "fix" later on
 - Simpler approach here: gauge transformation

$$A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} \chi$$
$$\delta A_{\mu} = -\epsilon^{\alpha} (\partial_{\alpha} A_{\mu}) + \partial_{\mu} (\epsilon^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}) = F_{\mu\alpha} \epsilon^{\alpha}$$

- Now field shift is manifestly gauge invariant

Field tensor shift

• We shift field values rather than the fields themselves

$$F'_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}(A_{\nu} + F_{\nu\alpha}\epsilon^{\alpha}) - \partial_{\nu}(A_{\mu} + F_{\mu\alpha}\epsilon^{\alpha})$$

$$= F_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{\alpha}(\partial_{\mu}F_{\nu\alpha} - \partial_{\nu}F_{\mu\alpha})$$

$$= F_{\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\delta F_{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}$$
Bianchi identity
$$0 = \partial_{\mu}F_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_{\nu}F_{\alpha\mu} + \partial_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\delta(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) = 2F^{\mu\nu}(\delta F_{\mu\nu}) = -2\epsilon^{\alpha}F^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}(F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu})$$

General invariance

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4\mu_0} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

• Calculate the change in action

$$\delta I = -\frac{1}{4\mu_0} \int d^4x \delta(F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{4\mu_0} \int d^4x \partial_\alpha (\epsilon^\alpha F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu})$$

• Surface term (divergence):

$$\Rightarrow K^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4\mu_0} \epsilon^{\alpha} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon^{\alpha} \mathcal{L}$$

On-shell variation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta})} &= -\frac{1}{2\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial (\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta})} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial (\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta})} (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\mu_0} F^{\mu\nu} (\delta^{\alpha}_{\mu} \delta^{\beta}_{\nu} - \delta^{\alpha}_{\nu} \delta^{\beta}_{\mu}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\mu_0} (F^{\beta\alpha} - F^{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} F^{\beta\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Conserved current

$$J^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\alpha} A_{\beta})} \delta A_{\beta} - K^{\alpha}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu_{0}} F^{\beta \alpha} F_{\beta \gamma} \epsilon^{\gamma} - \frac{1}{4\mu_{0}} \epsilon^{\alpha} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \qquad \text{Gather free parameters } \epsilon$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\mu_{0}} F^{\alpha \beta} F_{\beta \gamma} \epsilon^{\gamma} - \frac{1}{4\mu_{0}} \epsilon^{\gamma} \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} = T^{\alpha}_{\ \gamma} \epsilon^{\gamma}$$

$$T^{\alpha \gamma} = \frac{1}{\mu_{0}} \left[-F^{\alpha}_{\ \beta} F^{\beta \gamma} - \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha \gamma} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \right]$$
The stress-energy tensor!

Summary

- Recall: particle interactions need a field tensor
- Translational symmetry \rightarrow momentum conservation
- Applied to fields, this gives us stress-energy tensor
 - The complicated form didn't pop up out of nowhere

