B2 Symmetry and Relativity Lecture 22

Caltech exams

- Always "take home", usually "open book"
 - Professor hands out the exam paper with due date
 - Also gives instructions on what resources allowed, e.g., textbook, lecture notes, written work
 - No one allowed to watch
 - Students decided when/where to sit exam
 - Responsible for timing themselves, marking "overtime" sections honestly

Caltech exams

• Typical instruction (Phys 1 quizzes):

Time: 1 hour

You can use your lecture notes, your homework, Ohanian and Feynman

Liénard-Wiechert potentials

- Field of a moving charge:
 - Expect it to depend on charge, speed, distance from source
 - Form-invariant combination which matches known behaviors (charge at rest, uniform motion)

$$A^{\mu} = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{U^{\mu}/c}{(-R^{\nu}_{\rm sf}U_{\nu})} \qquad \qquad R^{\nu}_{\rm sf} \equiv R^{\nu} - R^{\nu}_s$$

Liénard-Wiechert potentials

• Generalization to a 4-current field

$$A^{\mu}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{x}_s}{r_{\rm sf}} J^{\mu}(t - r_{\rm sf}/c, \mathbf{x}_s) \qquad r_{\rm sf} \equiv |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_s|$$
(scalar invariant)

- Anyone want to guess the form for gravitational radiation?
 - Einstein field equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}$$

Curvature (Ricci) tensor and scalar

Source term: the stress-energy tensor!

Liénard-Wiechert potentials

• If you guessed (within factors)

Linearized metric perturbation

$$-h^{\mu\nu}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{4G}{c^4} \int \frac{d\mathbf{x}_s}{r_{\rm sf}} T^{\mu\nu}(t - r_{\rm sf}/c, \mathbf{x}_s)$$

you'd be right

- One might ask, how could you be wrong?
- Congratulations: you've mastered the art of the symmetry-informed guess



In the wild

de Donder gauge, Fock gauge, or Lorentz gauge[17]; in particular, the latter name refers to the analogy with the correspondent condition used in electromagnetism (see below). Then, from (2) we get

$$\Box \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{16\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu},\tag{4}$$

Notice that the condition (3) can be always achieved by a gauge transformation; in fact, Einstein equations are invariant with respect to the infinitesimal transformations

$$h_{\mu\nu} \to h_{\mu\nu} + \xi_{\mu,\nu} + \xi_{\nu,\mu} \tag{5}$$

which, in terms of $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$ becomes

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \to \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} + \xi_{\mu,\nu} + \xi_{\nu,\mu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\xi^{\alpha}_{,\alpha} \tag{6}$$

So, if $\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}_{,\nu} \neq 0$, it is sufficient to choose ξ^{μ} to be a solution of $\Box \xi^{\mu} = -\bar{h}^{\mu\nu}_{,\nu}$.

Eqs. (4) are in clear analogy with Maxwell equations for the electromagnetic four-potential: so, they can be solved in the same way (see e.g. Ruggiero and Tartaglia [2], Mashhoon [3], Mashhoon et al. [18], Mashhoon [19], Padmanabhan [20]). In fact, neglecting the solution of the homogeneous wave equations associated to (4), the general solution is given in terms of retarded potentials

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4G}{c^4} \int_V \frac{T_{\mu\nu}(ct - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|, \mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \mathrm{d}^3 x' , \qquad (7)$$

where integration is extended to the volume V, containing the source. We may set $T^{00} = \rho c^2$ and $T^{0i} = cj^i$, in

In analogy with the corresponding solutions of electromagnetism, it is possible to introduce the gravitoelectromagnetic potentials: namely, the gravitoelectric Φ and gravitomagnetic A^i potentials are defined by

$$\bar{h}_{00} \doteq 4\frac{\Phi}{c^2}, \quad \bar{h}_{0i} = -2\frac{A_i}{c^2},$$
 (11)

which, taking into account Eqs. (9) and (10), take the form

$$\Phi = G \int_{V} \frac{\rho(ct - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|, \mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \mathrm{d}^{3}x' , \qquad (12)$$

$$A_i = \frac{2G}{c} \int_V \frac{j^i(ct - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|, \mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \mathrm{d}^3 x' \,. \tag{13}$$

Eventually, the spacetime metric describing the solutions of Einstein's equation in weak-field approximation is written in the form [2, 3]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -c^2 \left(1 - 2\frac{\Phi}{c^2}\right) \mathrm{d}t^2 - \frac{4}{c} A_i \mathrm{d}x^i \mathrm{d}t + \left(1 + 2\frac{\Phi}{c^2}\right) \delta_{ij} \mathrm{d}x^i \mathrm{d}x^j$$
(14)

Now that we have defined the gravitoelectromagnetic potentials, it is possible to reconsider the Hilbert gauge condition (3) and express it in terms of Φ and A^i . From (3) we obtain indeed two conditions: setting $\mu = 0$ we get

$$\bar{h}^{00}_{,0} + \bar{h}^{0i}_{,i} = 0 \to \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0, \quad (15)$$

ML Ruggiero, "A note on the gravitoelectromagnetic analogy", arxiv:2111.09008 [gr-qc], 17 Nov 2021

Poincaré group

Add translations to Lorentz group



Poincaré group representations

Bargman, Wigner, 1946

• $P_{\mu}P^{\mu}=-m^2$

- Finite mass particles, spin 0, ½, 1, ...
- Eigenvalues of $W_{\mu}W^{\mu}$: $m^2s(s+1)$
- State labels: s_3 , p (continuous 3-momentum)
- $P_{\mu}P^{\mu}=0, W_{\mu}W^{\mu}=0$
 - Massless particles, two helicities ±s
- *P_µP^µ=0*, *W_µW^µ>0*
 - Massless particles, continous spin
- *P_µP^µ>0*
 - tachyons

$$P_{\mu} = -i\partial_{\mu}$$
$$W^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}P_{\nu}J_{\kappa\lambda}$$

(Paul-Lubanski vector)

Reminder

• Last two B2 lectures (Thursday, Friday) will be at noon as usual